

## Appendix A. 2012 Community Survey Report

### 1. Please rate the following:

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Overall quality of life in Waterbury.	56 38%	76 52%	9 6%	0 0%	5 3%
<i>random sample</i>	22 34%	34 53%	7 11%	0 0%	1 2%
<i>general response</i>	34 42%	42 51%	2 2%	0 0%	4 5%
Overall economic health of Waterbury.	4 3%	66 45%	58 40%	8 5%	10 7%
<i>random sample</i>	0 0%	23 36%	33 52%	3 5%	5 8%
<i>general response</i>	4 5%	43 52%	25 31%	5 6%	5 6%
Overall direction that Waterbury is taking.	20 14%	68 47%	25 17%	10 7%	23 16%
<i>random sample</i>	5 8%	25 39%	12 19%	8 13%	14 22%
<i>general response</i>	15 18%	43 53%	13 16%	2 2%	9 11%

### 2. Please rate the following:

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Condition of town roads.	7 5%	44 30%	61 42%	29 20%	5 3%
<i>random sample</i>	3 5%	15 23%	29 45%	16 25%	1 2%
<i>general response</i>	4 5%	29 35%	32 39%	13 16%	4 5%
Winter maintenance of town roads.	42 29%	76 52%	14 10%	4 3%	10 7%
<i>random sample</i>	21 33%	31 48%	7 11%	3 5%	2 3%
<i>general response</i>	21 25%	45 55%	7 9%	1 1%	8 10%
Safety of driving on roads in Waterbury.	13 9%	78 53%	42 29%	6 4%	7 5%
<i>random sample</i>	5 8%	29 45%	25 39%	3 5%	2 3%
<i>general response</i>	8 10%	49 60%	17 20%	3 4%	5 6%
Availability of sidewalks, paths & trails in town.	7 5%	52 36%	55 38%	21 14%	11 8%
<i>random sample</i>	5 8%	21 33%	24 38%	10 16%	4 6%
<i>general response</i>	2 2%	31 38%	31 38%	11 13%	7 9%
Safety of walking in Waterbury.	11 8%	63 43%	47 32%	16 11%	9 6%
<i>random sample</i>	5 8%	22 34%	24 38%	10 17%	4 3%
<i>general response</i>	6 7%	41 50%	23 28%	6 7%	5 6%
Safety of biking in Waterbury.	6 4%	35 24%	52 36%	24 16%	29 20%
<i>random sample</i>	2 3%	11 17%	26 41%	13 20%	12 19%
<i>general response</i>	4 5%	24 29%	26 32%	11 13%	17 21%

**3. Please rate the following:**

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Availability of housing in Waterbury.	2 1%	37 25%	53 36%	17 12%	37 25%
<i>random sample</i>	2 3%	14 22%	25 39%	7 11%	16 25%
<i>general response</i>	0 0%	23 28%	28 34%	10 12%	21 26%
Affordability of housing in Waterbury.	1 1%	19 13%	59 40%	39 27%	28 19%
<i>random sample</i>	1 2%	5 8%	29 45%	18 28%	11 17%
<i>general response</i>	0 0%	14 17%	30 37%	21 26%	17 20%
Quality of housing in Waterbury.	4 3%	62 42%	38 26%	6 4%	36 25%
<i>random sample</i>	3 5%	21 33%	21 33%	3 5%	16 25%
<i>general response</i>	1 1%	41 50%	17 20%	3 4%	20 24%

**4. Please rate the following:**

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Job opportunities in Waterbury.	1 1%	43 29%	48 33%	23 16%	31 21%
<i>random sample</i>	1 6%	18 44%	21 33%	13 11%	11 6%
<i>general response</i>	0 0%	25 30%	27 33%	10 12%	20 24%
Opportunities for new/expanding businesses.	6 4%	42 29%	43 29%	12 8%	43 29%
<i>random sample</i>	5 8%	13 20%	22 34%	5 8%	19 30%
<i>general response</i>	1 1%	29 35%	21 26%	7 9%	24 29%
Availability of goods & services in Waterbury.	6 4%	59 40%	54 37%	13 9%	14 10%
<i>random sample</i>	4 6%	28 44%	21 33%	7 11%	4 6%
<i>general response</i>	2 2%	31 38%	33 40%	6 7%	10 12%

**5. Please rate the following:**

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Overall town response to emergencies or disasters.	60 41%	60 41%	10 7%	1 1%	15 10%
<i>random sample</i>	24 38%	29 45%	6 9%	0 0%	5 8%
<i>general response</i>	36 44%	31 38%	4 5%	1 1%	10 12%
Safety from crime in Waterbury.	19 13%	82 56%	24 16%	5 3%	16 11%
<i>random sample</i>	6 9%	35 55%	14 22%	3 5%	6 9%
<i>general response</i>	13 16%	47 57%	10 12%	2 2%	10 12%
Quality of response by Village Police.	13 9%	35 24%	20 14%	14 10%	64 44%
<i>random sample</i>	6 9%	14 22%	11 17%	6 9%	27 42%
<i>general response</i>	7 9%	21 26%	9 11%	8 10%	37 45%

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Quality of response by State Police.	12 8%	31 21%	26 18%	7 5%	70 48%
<i>random sample</i>	6 9%	10 16%	12 19%	7 11%	29 45%
<i>general response</i>	6 7%	21 26%	14 17%	0 0%	41 50%
Quality of response by Waterbury Fire Department.	66 45%	37 25%	3 2%	0 0%	40 27%
<i>random sample</i>	31 48%	14 22%	2 3%	0 0%	17 27%
<i>general response</i>	35 43%	23 28%	1 1%	0 0%	23 28%
Quality of response by Waterbury Ambulance Service.	71 49%	34 23%	1 1%	0 0%	40 27%
<i>random sample</i>	35 55%	15 23%	1 2%	0 0%	13 20%
<i>general response</i>	36 44%	19 23%	0 0%	0 0%	27 33%

**6. Please rate the following:**

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Overall environmental quality in Waterbury.	29 20%	86 59%	16 11%	2 1%	13 9%
<i>random sample</i>	10 16%	41 64%	10 16%	1 2%	2 3%
<i>general response</i>	19 23%	45 54%	6 7%	1 1%	11 13%
Water quality in the town's rivers and streams.	22 15%	71 49%	24 16%	4 3%	25 17%
<i>random sample</i>	12 19%	28 44%	15 23%	2 3%	7 11%
<i>general response</i>	10 12%	43 52%	9 11%	2 2%	18 22%
Ability to enjoy nature in Waterbury.	66 45%	58 40%	12 8%	0 0%	10 7%
<i>random sample</i>	35 55%	18 28%	10 16%	0 0%	1 2%
<i>general response</i>	31 38%	40 49%	2 2%	0 0%	9 11%
Ability to enjoy quiet in Waterbury.	42 29%	62 42%	24 16%	7 5%	11 8%
<i>random sample</i>	19 30%	23 36%	14 22%	7 11%	1 2%
<i>general response</i>	23 28%	39 48%	10 12%	0 0%	10 12%
Ability to enjoy scenic views in Waterbury.	66 45%	57 39%	11 8%	1 1%	11 8%
<i>random sample</i>	34 53%	20 31%	7 11%	1 2%	2 3%
<i>general response</i>	32 39%	37 45%	4 5%	0 0%	9 11%
Adequacy of town parks.	35 24%	65 45%	23 16%	9 6%	14 10%
<i>random sample</i>	18 28%	27 42%	11 17%	5 8%	3 5%
<i>general response</i>	17 21%	38 46%	12 15%	4 5%	11 13%

**7. Please rate the following:**

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Availability of activities for youth in Waterbury.	28 19%	49 34%	14 10%	6 4%	49 34%
<i>random sample</i>	16 25%	18 28%	4 6%	3 5%	23 36%
<i>general response</i>	12 15%	31 38%	10 12%	3 3%	26 32%
Availability of activities for seniors in town.	7 5%	37 25%	28 19%	6 4%	68 47%
<i>random sample</i>	5 8%	20 31%	11 17%	2 3%	26 41%
<i>general response</i>	2 2%	17 21%	17 21%	4 5%	42 51%
Availability of cultural activities in Waterbury.	16 11%	62 42%	35 24%	7 5%	26 18%
<i>random sample</i>	9 14%	25 39%	17 27%	3 5%	10 16%
<i>general response</i>	7 8%	37 45%	18 22%	4 5%	16 20%
Access to childcare providers in Waterbury.	10 7%	31 21%	20 14%	8 5%	77 53%
<i>random sample</i>	7 11%	16 25%	9 14%	0 0%	32 50%
<i>general response</i>	3 4%	15 18%	11 13%	8 10%	45 55%
Quality of the school in Waterbury.	28 19%	66 45%	8 5%	7 5%	37 25%
<i>random sample</i>	12 19%	33 52%	2 3%	5 8%	12 19%
<i>general response</i>	16 20%	33 40%	6 7%	2 2%	25 30%
Quality of the library in Waterbury.	18 12%	50 34%	44 30%	12 8%	22 15%
<i>random sample</i>	12 19%	23 36%	16 25%	7 11%	6 9%
<i>general response</i>	6 7%	27 33%	28 34%	5 6%	16 20%
Access to healthcare providers in Waterbury.	27 18%	68 47%	17 12%	7 5%	27 18%
<i>random sample</i>	16 25%	24 38%	10 16%	4 6%	10 16%
<i>general response</i>	11 13%	44 54%	7 9%	3 4%	17 21%

**8. Please rate the following:**

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Access to high-speed internet in Waterbury.	32 22%	65 45%	12 8%	10 7%	27 18%
<i>random sample</i>	13 20%	30 47%	5 8%	5 8%	11 17%
<i>general response</i>	19 23%	35 43%	7 9%	5 6%	16 20%
Access to cell phone service in Waterbury.	23 16%	68 47%	23 16%	13 9%	19 13%
<i>random sample</i>	13 20%	30 47%	11 17%	5 8%	5 8%
<i>general response</i>	10 12%	38 46%	12 15%	8 10%	14 17%

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Access to public water and sewer in Waterbury.	26 18%	54 37%	9 6%	7 5%	50 34%
<i>random sample</i>	15 23%	24 38%	5 8%	3 5%	17 27%
<i>general response</i>	11 13%	30 37%	4 5%	4 5%	33 40%
Management of stormwater in Waterbury.	10 7%	37 25%	28 19%	10 7%	61 42%
<i>random sample</i>	6 9%	17 27%	13 20%	6 9%	22 34%
<i>general response</i>	4 5%	20 24%	15 18%	4 5%	39 48%
Energy efficiency of town facilities & operations.	4 3%	29 20%	27 18%	12 8%	74 51%
<i>random sample</i>	2 3%	14 22%	8 13%	6 9%	34 53%
<i>general response</i>	2 2%	15 18%	19 23%	6 7%	40 49%
Availability of parking in commercial districts.	7 5%	37 25%	52 36%	32 22%	18 12%
<i>random sample</i>	4 6%	11 17%	30 47%	14 22%	5 8%
<i>general response</i>	3 4%	26 32%	22 27%	18 22%	13 16%

**9. Please rate the following:**

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	UNSURE/NA
Overall quality of municipal government.	25 17%	63 43%	22 15%	7 5%	29 20%
<i>random sample</i>	7 11%	31 48%	15 23%	3 5%	8 13%
<i>general response</i>	18 22%	32 39%	7 9%	4 5%	21 26%
Access to information about community issues.	24 16%	63 43%	25 17%	10 7%	24 16%
<i>random sample</i>	6 9%	30 47%	15 23%	4 6%	9 14%
<i>general response</i>	18 22%	33 40%	10 12%	6 7%	15 18%
Opportunities to participate in community affairs.	38 26%	62 42%	18 12%	4 3%	24 16%
<i>random sample</i>	12 19%	32 50%	8 13%	2 3%	10 16%
<i>general response</i>	26 32%	30 37%	10 12%	2 2%	14 17%
Response of municipal gov't to citizen concerns.	17 12%	52 36%	27 18%	16 11%	34 23%
<i>random sample</i>	5 8%	26 41%	13 20%	11 17%	9 14%
<i>general response</i>	12 15%	26 32%	14 17%	5 6%	25 30%
Value of services for municipal taxes paid.	18 12%	54 37%	26 18%	17 12%	31 21%
<i>random sample</i>	5 8%	28 44%	13 20%	9 14%	9 14%
<i>general response</i>	13 16%	26 32%	13 16%	8 10%	22 27%

**10. What are the 3 most important resources to protect? Should Waterbury regulate development more than, less than or the same as we do now to protect the following resources?**

		TOP 3	MORE	SAME	LESS	UNSURE/NA
Historic buildings		43 29%	36 25%	70 48%	13 9%	27 18%
	random sample	19 30%	16 25%	35 55%	7 11%	6 9%
	general response	24 29%	20 24%	35 43%	6 7%	21 26%
Scenic views		42 29%	54 37%	56 38%	6 4%	30 21%
	random sample	22 34%	22 34%	28 44%	4 6%	10 16%
	general response	20 24%	32 39%	28 34%	2 2%	20 24%
Wildlife habitat		41 28%	53 36%	57 39%	6 4%	30 21%
	random sample	22 34%	22 34%	29 45%	5 8%	8 13%
	general response	19 23%	31 38%	28 34%	1 1%	22 27%
Wildlife travel corridors		46 32%	53 36%	52 36%	6 4%	35 24%
	random sample	31 48%	22 34%	27 42%	5 8%	10 16%
	general response	15 18%	31 38%	25 30%	1 1%	25 30%
Streambanks		31 21%	66 45%	38 26%	7 5%	35 24%
	random sample	11 17%	31 48%	18 28%	5 8%	10 16%
	general response	20 24%	35 43%	20 24%	2 2%	25 30%
Wetlands		21 14%	55 38%	51 35%	6 4%	34 23%
	random sample	16 25%	23 36%	29 45%	5 8%	7 11%
	general response	5 6%	32 39%	22 27%	1 1%	27 33%
Floodplains		21 14%	72 49%	34 23%	4 3%	36 25%
	random sample	3 5%	34 53%	13 20%	3 5%	14 22%
	general response	18 22%	38 46%	21 26%	1 1%	22 27%
Farmland		35 24%	60 41%	44 30%	8 5%	34 23%
	random sample	14 22%	29 45%	19 30%	4 6%	12 19%
	general response	21 26%	31 38%	25 30%	4 5%	22 27%
Forestland		36 25%	54 37%	51 35%	8 5%	33 23%
	random sample	17 27%	21 33%	24 38%	6 9%	13 20%
	general response	19 23%	33 40%	27 33%	2 2%	20 24%

	TOP 3	MORE	SAME	LESS	UNSURE/NA
Ridgeline	31 21%	54 37%	38 26%	17 12%	37 25%
random sample	16 25%	24 38%	11 17%	15 23%	14 22%
general response	15 18%	30 37%	27 33%	2 2%	23 28%
Hillsides	11 8%	43 29%	44 30%	19 13%	40 27%
random sample	6 9%	21 33%	12 19%	17 27%	14 22%
general response	5 6%	22 27%	32 39%	2 2%	26 32%

### 11. What approaches should Waterbury take to protecting natural resources?

	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	UNSURE/NA
Requiring DRB approval for new buildings or uses.	72 49%	15 10%	59 40%
random sample	31 48%	9 14%	24 38%
general response	41 50%	6 7%	35 43%
Encouraging clustered or planned unit development outside of designated growth centers.	55 38%	37 25%	54 37%
random sample	26 41%	20 31%	18 28%
general response	29 35%	17 21%	36 44%
Decreasing maximum density (homes per acre) outside of designated growth centers.	45 31%	36 25%	65 45%
random sample	20 31%	21 33%	23 36%
general response	25 30%	15 18%	42 51%
Increasing minimum lot size outside designated growth centers.	49 34%	39 27%	58 40%
random sample	20 31%	25 39%	19 30%
general response	29 35%	14 17%	39 48%
Encouraging additional multi-family development in designated growth centers.	81 55%	21 14%	44 30%
random sample	39 61%	11 17%	14 22%
general response	42 51%	10 12%	30 37%
Inventorying and assessing important natural resources.	97 66%	9 6%	40 27%
random sample	44 69%	5 8%	15 23%
general response	53 65%	4 5%	25 30%
Restricting development in areas with important natural resources.	100 68%	13 9%	33 23%
random sample	48 75%	6 9%	10 16%
general response	52 63%	7 9%	23 28%

	SUPPORT		OPPOSE		UNSURE/NA	
Requiring DRB approval for the creation of any new lots.	61	42%	27	18%	58	40%
<i>random sample</i>	31	48%	13	20%	20	31%
<i>general response</i>	30	37%	14	17%	38	46%
Requiring DRB approval for creation of more than 5 new lots.	81	55%	13	9%	52	36%
<i>random sample</i>	41	64%	7	11%	16	25%
<i>general response</i>	40	49%	6	7%	36	44%
Using a tax dollars to help fund the purchase of conservation easements.	70	48%	23	16%	53	36%
<i>random sample</i>	30	47%	13	20%	21	33%
<i>general response</i>	40	49%	10	12%	32	39%

**12. What would be the most effective steps Waterbury could take to support recreation and rec-based economic dev?**

	1 <sup>ST</sup>	2 <sup>ND</sup>	3 <sup>RD</sup>	4 <sup>TH</sup>	5 <sup>TH</sup>	6 <sup>TH</sup>	NO ANSWER
Improve parks & rec areas.	27 18%	38 26%	19 13%	14 10%	8 5%	6 4%	34 23%
<i>random sample</i>	15 23%	16 25%	9 14%	6 9%	3 5%	4 6%	11 17%
<i>general response</i>	12 15%	22 27%	10 12%	8 10%	5 6%	2 2%	23 28%
Improve bike/ped facilities.	56 38%	17 12%	18 12%	12 8%	7 5%	4 3%	32 22%
<i>random sample</i>	24 38%	6 9%	11 17%	7 11%	4 6%	3 5%	9 14%
<i>general response</i>	32 39%	11 13%	7 9%	5 6%	3 4%	1 1%	23 28%
Improve water access.	10 7%	14 10%	22 15%	22 15%	15 10%	29 20%	34 23%
<i>random sample</i>	8 13%	9 14%	6 9%	10 16%	5 8%	15 23%	11 17%
<i>general response</i>	2 2%	5 6%	16 20%	12 15%	10 12%	14 17%	23 28%
Website for Waterbury recreation.	13 9%	21 14%	22 15%	27 18%	19 13%	11 8%	33 23%
<i>random sample</i>	11 17%	7 11%	13 20%	10 16%	8 13%	5 8%	10 16%
<i>general response</i>	2 2%	14 17%	9 11%	17 21%	11 13%	6 7%	23 28%
Kiosks & signs for Waterbury rec.	9 6%	10 7%	20 14%	24 16%	36 25%	15 10%	32 22%
<i>random sample</i>	5 8%	8 13%	9 14%	12 19%	14 22%	7 11%	9 14%
<i>general response</i>	4 5%	2 2%	11 13%	12 15%	22 27%	8 10%	23 28%
Hire a full-time recreation director.	10 7%	11 8%	15 10%	8 5%	16 11%	52 36%	34 23%
<i>random sample</i>	3 5%	6 9%	9 14%	3 5%	8 13%	24 38%	11 17%
<i>general response</i>	7 9%	5 6%	6 7%	5 6%	8 10%	28 34%	23 28%



**13. Should Waterbury encourage more renewable energy production?**

	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	UNSURE/NA
Solar collectors for personal use.	103 71%	7 5%	36 25%
<i>random sample</i>	48 75%	5 8%	11 17%
<i>general response</i>	55 67%	2 2%	25 30%
Solar farms for utility energy production.	78 53%	24 16%	44 30%
<i>random sample</i>	35 55%	14 22%	15 23%
<i>general response</i>	43 52%	10 12%	29 35%
Wind turbines for personal use.	69 47%	28 19%	49 34%
<i>random sample</i>	32 50%	15 23%	17 27%
<i>general response</i>	37 45%	13 16%	32 39%
Wind farms for utility energy production.	50 34%	44 30%	52 36%
<i>random sample</i>	22 34%	24 38%	18 28%
<i>general response</i>	28 34%	20 24%	34 41%
Biomass for personal use.	70 48%	15 10%	61 42%
<i>random sample</i>	28 44%	11 17%	25 39%
<i>general response</i>	42 51%	4 5%	36 44%
Biomass for utility energy production.	68 47%	20 14%	58 40%
<i>random sample</i>	30 47%	11 17%	23 36%
<i>general response</i>	38 46%	9 11%	35 43%
Hydro for personal use.	63 43%	25 17%	58 40%
<i>random sample</i>	29 45%	13 20%	22 34%
<i>general response</i>	34 41%	12 15%	36 44%
Hydro for utility energy production.	67 46%	26 18%	53 36%
<i>random sample</i>	34 53%	13 20%	17 27%
<i>general response</i>	33 40%	13 16%	36 44%

**14. What would be the most effective steps Waterbury could take to manage future residential development?**

	1 <sup>ST</sup>	2 <sup>ND</sup>	3 <sup>RD</sup>	4 <sup>TH</sup>	NO ANSWER
Allow multi-family housing in a larger portion of the village.	39 27%	31 21%	12 8%	27 18%	37 25%
<i>random sample</i>	19 30%	14 22%	6 9%	11 17%	14 22%
<i>general response</i>	20 24%	17 21%	6 7%	16 20%	23 28%

	1 <sup>ST</sup>	2 <sup>ND</sup>	3 <sup>RD</sup>	4 <sup>TH</sup>	NO ANSWER
Allow high-density housing at the state office complex property.	51 35%	31 21%	13 9%	13 9%	38 26%
<i>random sample</i>	22 34%	12 19%	5 8%	9 14%	16 25%
<i>general response</i>	29 35%	19 23%	8 10%	4 5%	22 27%
Expand growth areas to provide opportunities for more housing.	16 11%	26 18%	46 32%	21 14%	37 25%
<i>random sample</i>	10 16%	10 16%	16 25%	13 20%	15 23%
<i>general response</i>	6 7%	16 20%	30 37%	8 10%	22 27%
Increase opportunities for housing outside growth areas.	16 11%	11 8%	28 19%	53 36%	38 26%
<i>random sample</i>	7 11%	5 8%	15 23%	22 34%	15 23%
<i>general response</i>	9 11%	6 7%	13 16%	31 38%	23 28%

**14.a. Should Waterbury encourage more residential development?**

	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	UNSURE/NA
Within Waterbury Village.	84 58%	20 14%	42 29%
<i>random sample</i>	38 59%	11 17%	15 23%
<i>general response</i>	46 56%	9 11%	27 33%
Within Waterbury Center Village.	77 53%	25 17%	44 30%
<i>random sample</i>	34 53%	14 22%	16 25%
<i>general response</i>	43 52%	11 13%	28 34%
Outside village areas.	58 40%	42 29%	46 32%
<i>random sample</i>	25 39%	21 33%	18 28%
<i>general response</i>	33 40%	21 26%	28 34%

**15. What would be the most effective steps Waterbury could take to manage future commercial development?**

	1 <sup>ST</sup>	2 <sup>ND</sup>	3 <sup>RD</sup>	4 <sup>TH</sup>	5 <sup>TH</sup>	NO ANSWER
Create more parking in the village.	31 21%	6 4%	18 12%	30 21%	29 20%	32 22%
<i>random sample</i>	19 30%	5 8%	11 17%	10 16%	9 14%	10 16%
<i>general response</i>	12 15%	1 1%	7 9%	20 24%	20 24%	22 27%
Expand the boundaries of designated downtown.	17 12%	12 8%	19 13%	28 19%	34 23%	36 25%
<i>random sample</i>	12 19%	6 9%	12 19%	7 11%	13 20%	14 22%
<i>general response</i>	5 6%	6 7%	7 9%	21 26%	21 26%	22 27%
Allow variety of com uses of state office property.	50 34%	25 17%	26 18%	7 5%	8 5%	30 21%
<i>random sample</i>	24 38%	14 22%	7 11%	3 5%	7 11%	9 14%
<i>general response</i>	26 32%	11 13%	19 23%	4 5%	1 1%	21 26%

	1 <sup>ST</sup>	2 <sup>ND</sup>	3 <sup>RD</sup>	4 <sup>TH</sup>	5 <sup>TH</sup>	NO ANSWER
Attract new small businesses to Waterbury.	39 27%	47 32%	16 11%	7 5%	6 4%	31 21%
<i>random sample</i>	24 38%	16 25%	10 16%	0 0%	4 6%	10 16%
<i>general response</i>	15 18%	31 38%	6 7%	7 9%	2 2%	21 26%
Attract new large businesses to Waterbury.	21 14%	19 13%	26 18%	16 11%	31 21%	33 23%
<i>random sample</i>	15 23%	8 13%	8 13%	8 13%	14 22%	11 17%
<i>general response</i>	6 7%	11 13%	18 22%	8 10%	17 21%	22 27%

**15.a. Should Waterbury encourage more commercial development?**

	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	UNSURE/NA
In Waterbury Village.	93 64%	13 9%	40 27%
<i>random sample</i>	40 63%	10 16%	14 22%
<i>general response</i>	53 65%	3 4%	26 32%
Around the triangle in Waterbury Center Village.	65 45%	38 26%	43 29%
<i>random sample</i>	33 52%	19 30%	12 19%
<i>general response</i>	32 39%	19 23%	31 38%
In Colbyville.	57 39%	38 26%	51 35%
<i>random sample</i>	28 44%	20 31%	16 25%
<i>general response</i>	29 35%	18 22%	35 43%
Along Route 100.	56 38%	46 32%	44 30%
<i>random sample</i>	30 47%	21 33%	13 20%
<i>general response</i>	26 32%	25 30%	31 38%
Along Route 2 west of the village.	81 55%	19 13%	46 32%
<i>random sample</i>	39 61%	13 20%	12 19%
<i>general response</i>	42 51%	6 7%	34 41%

**16. What would be the most effective steps Waterbury could take to manage future industrial development?**

	1 <sup>ST</sup>	2 <sup>ND</sup>	3 <sup>RD</sup>	4 <sup>TH</sup>	5 <sup>TH</sup>	6 <sup>TH</sup>	NO ANSWER
Accommodate growth in existing areas.	58 40%	21 14%	9 6%	6 4%	5 3%	1 1%	46 32%
<i>random sample</i>	29 45%	11 17%	2 3%	4 6%	3 5%	1 2%	14 22%
<i>general response</i>	29 35%	10 12%	7 9%	2 2%	2 2%	0 0%	32 39%
Expand existing areas to adjoining land.	10 7%	24 16%	13 9%	19 13%	11 8%	20 14%	49 34%
<i>random sample</i>	7 11%	13 20%	8 13%	9 14%	3 5%	7 11%	17 27%
<i>general response</i>	3 4%	11 13%	5 6%	10 12%	8 10%	13 16%	32 39%

	1 <sup>ST</sup>	2 <sup>ND</sup>	3 <sup>RD</sup>	4 <sup>TH</sup>	5 <sup>TH</sup>	6 <sup>TH</sup>	NO ANSWER
Designate land elsewhere for industry.	13 9%	12 8%	16 11%	19 13%	14 10%	23 16%	49 34%
<i>random sample</i>	6 9%	7 11%	4 6%	11 17%	5 8%	13 20%	18 28%
<i>general response</i>	7 9%	5 6%	12 15%	8 10%	9 11%	10 12%	31 38%
Allow non-industrial uses w/in ind areas.	14 10%	17 12%	20 14%	19 13%	13 9%	14 10%	49 34%
<i>random sample</i>	9 14%	8 13%	11 17%	5 8%	6 9%	8 13%	17 27%
<i>general response</i>	5 6%	9 11%	9 11%	14 17%	7 9%	6 7%	32 39%
Allow only industrial uses w/in ind areas.	12 8%	10 7%	22 15%	16 11%	18 12%	19 13%	49 34%
<i>random sample</i>	8 13%	3 5%	8 13%	9 14%	9 14%	10 16%	17 27%
<i>general response</i>	4 5%	7 9%	14 17%	7 9%	9 11%	9 11%	32 39%
Limit existing ind areas to existing uses.	11 8%	14 10%	8 5%	11 8%	25 17%	31 21%	46 32%
<i>random sample</i>	8 13%	7 11%	4 6%	2 3%	9 14%	20 31%	14 22%
<i>general response</i>	3 4%	7 9%	4 5%	9 11%	16 20%	11 13%	32 39%

**16.a. Should Waterbury encourage more industrial development?**

	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	UNSURE/NA
In Waterbury Village.	60 41%	42 29%	44 30%
<i>random sample</i>	27 42%	24 38%	13 20%
<i>general response</i>	33 40%	18 22%	31 38%
In Waterbury Center Village.	32 22%	70 48%	44 30%
<i>random sample</i>	12 19%	40 63%	12 19%
<i>general response</i>	20 24%	30 37%	32 39%
Along Route 2 west of the village.	83 57%	22 15%	41 28%
<i>random sample</i>	42 66%	13 20%	9 14%
<i>general response</i>	41 50%	9 11%	32 39%

**17. How should Waterbury allocate funds among the following?**

	TOTAL	RANDOM SAMPLE	GENERAL RESPONSE
Roads and bridges	2,403 23%	1,304 26%	1,099 21%
Sidewalks, paths, trails	1,154 11%	561 11%	593 11%
Public transit	575 6%	253 5%	322 6%
Energy conservation, efficiency or alternatives	710 7%	315 6%	395 7%
Parks and recreation	804 8%	421 8%	383 7%
Land conservation and historic preservation	800 8%	329 7%	471 9%
Affordable and special needs housing	598 6%	300 6%	298 6%
Economic development	958 9%	399 8%	559 11%
Police services	856 8%	425 8%	431 8%
Fire and rescue services	1,077 10%	588 12%	489 9%
Other	386 4%	121 2%	265 5%

**18. Age?**

	<30	30-44	45-64	65+	NO ANSWER
	3 2%	32 22%	46 32%	29 20%	36 25%
<i>random sample</i>	1 2%	14 22%	23 36%	18 28%	8 13%
<i>general response</i>	2 2%	18 22%	23 28%	11 13%	28 34%

**19. How many years have you lived in Waterbury?**

	<5	5-19	20+	NO ANSWER
	8 5%	57 39%	46 32%	35 24%
<i>random sample</i>	3 5%	25 39%	25 39%	11 17%
<i>general response</i>	5 6%	32 39%	21 26%	24 29%

**20. Do you own or rent your home?**

	OWN	RENT	NO ANSWER
	110 75%	7 5%	29 20%
<i>random sample</i>	57 89%	2 3%	5 8%
<i>general response</i>	53 65%	5 6%	24 29%

**21. How many people in each age group live in your household?**

- 0-4 years
- 5-17 years
- 18-24 years
- 25-44 years
- 45-64 years
- 65-74 years
- 75+ years

	TOTAL	RANDOM SAMPLE	GENERAL RESPONSE
0-4 years	24 11%	7 9%	17 12%
5-17 years	38 19%	19 20%	19 18%
18-24 years	18 10%	8 11%	10 10%
25-44 years	73 29%	29 27%	44 32%
45-64 years	109 46%	50 50%	59 43%
65-74 years	35 18%	28 31%	7 7%
75+ years	21 10%	14 17%	7 5%

**22. Where do the members of your household work?**

- No one in the workforce
- Work from home
- Waterbury
- Barre-Montpelier area
- Stowe-Morristown area
- Burlington area

	TOTAL	RANDOM SAMPLE	GENERAL RESPONSE
No one in the workforce	21 14%	16 25%	5 6%
Work from home	29 20%	13 20%	16 20%
Waterbury	36 25%	16 25%	20 24%
Barre-Montpelier area	26 18%	12 19%	14 17%
Stowe-Morristown area	16 11%	10 16%	6 7%
Burlington area	33 23%	17 27%	16 20%

**23. Do you live in the Village of Waterbury?**

	YES	NO	NO ANSWER
	38 26%	80 55%	28 19%
<i>random sample</i>	22 34%	39 61%	3 5%
<i>general response</i>	16 20%	41 50%	25 30%

**24. Do you support a merger of the town and village?**

	YES	NO	NO ANSWER
	69 47%	25 17%	52 36%
<i>random sample</i>	28 44%	18 28%	18 28%
<i>general response</i>	41 50%	7 9%	34 41%

**25. How did you hear about the survey?**

MAIL	NEWSPAPER	FP FORUM	WEBSITE	FRIEND	OTHER/NA
64 44%	6 4%	28 19%	3 2%	7 5%	38 26%

**1. What specific changes are needed to improve Waterbury's overall direction, quality of life or economic health?**

**State Offices**

1. Bring state workers back. [13 responses]
2. Begin construction on the state offices & municipal offices.
3. Getting more businesses & their employees back in town. I don't care if it's the state workers in the complex or not - bring people back!
4. Getting people back into the state complex and building a long-term recreational support system to attract out-of-town visitors.
5. We need the state workers or new businesses in town.
6. Return of state workers & possible mix of private businesses at site of former state complex flooded out by Irene.
7. Return of state employees. New businesses.
8. Workers are needed back in the community at the state office complex. The future complex decisions need to be made and acted upon.
9. For further improvement we need to have the state employees back to have a vibrant economy.

**Retail**

10. More retail in downtown. Another take-out food option like a Whole Foods.
11. More retail space downtown.
12. More retail businesses.
13. I am a business owner in Waterbury and I live in Duxbury. I would like to see better economic development and encouragement of retail business in town.
14. Attract more shops (fill empty spots in the center!). Keep up the events that get people out and about like art in the alley, holiday stroll, etc.
15. Encouraging more business and businesses good for everyday life of residents = those that will keep us shopping here not elsewhere.
16. Keep encouraging business growth / opportunities in village to give residents more reasons to shop local.
17. Retail other than what is already here.

**Economic Development**

18. Diversify business. I've always been nervous with so much GMCR.
19. Waterbury is need of an economic development office/department.
20. Bring more businesses to Waterbury.

**Village/Downtown**

21. Lighting in the downtown is poor and does not invite evening activities/ shopping, etc.
22. Village needs a bit of a refresh but I hear it will happen in 2014.
23. Count on-street parking for shopping.
24. Improved downtown zoning that encourages retail in storefronts – no more offices. in store spaces.
25. Recognition of the village as a historical entity in need of preservation – not just part of village.
26. Too much traffic and congestion.
27. Make business parking more clear and relocate halfway house (kids have felt threatened at Rusty Parker park).

**Police Department**

28. Stop putting the police dept under fire.
29. Keep a village police force! Vote to change it to cover the Waterbury Center as well.
30. Need to keep the police department!
31. My few run-ins with the police have been most unpleasant. Complete lack of professionalism!
32. Need to make the entrance of the village at the railroad overpass, Route 2, Route 100 and Union Streets more attractive to visitors. It looks like you are entering a dying rail yard! This seems like a reasonable project to accomplish.
33. It seems that 80% of the police force's time is spent sitting at radar traps and harassing citizens. That has to stop!
34. Less speeding tickets.

35. A town-wide police department is necessary for further improvement and it cannot be sustained with support from village resident. The financial burden is too great.

### **Resources**

36. Much better protection of land from development. Waterbury Center's land use patterns are classic sprawl with large lots that have overtaken prime agricultural land. We need to address these land use issues by promoting denser development in designated areas and protecting open space.
37. Zoning should limit building homes to about 1500 feet in elevation due to soil types, steepness of access for public emergency vehicles, and maintenance of the beauty and wildlife of Waterbury.
38. We need to be proactive to preserve our small-town quality of life. In our concern over economic development that was set back by Irene, we need to be careful not to allow sprawling development along Route 100, development along our ridgelines, or carving up of our open spaces.
39. Farmers need to be more respectful of neighbors and the environment. There is a lot of animal waste being washed into our streams (spreading manure in winter, etc.). There seems to be no regulation on the structures they can build on their property, how close those structures are to others, the aesthetic aspect of them, etc.

### **Government**

40. More collaboration between SB and town (we need to merge!). Better communications from SB & trustees to citizens.
41. More volunteers for the Planning Commission.
42. There needs to be more cooperation between town residents and village residents. Mainly some people in the town need to see the importance of a thriving village.
43. It concerns me that often enough an "academic" approach smacking of "...we know what's good for you here are some fuzzy numbers.." [as re: the police debacle last year] has been allowed to prevail at times. I am happy that Mr. Viens has joined our selectboard to interject some grassroots reality into some of the proposals.

44. Stop trying to get the village and town to merge and figure out how to help people without getting more money from government. Taxes are way too high now.
45. Too little attention to needs of residents and too much to businesses.
46. We also need to merge the village into the town so that the Selectboard can speak with one voice for all of Waterbury.
47. Don't tear down building that Waterbury wants to use for town offices and library. Restructure inside of existing building.
48. Decrease tax rate for those who are not receiving any kind of tax reduction.
49. Lower taxes. Put things on hold.

### **Roads, Sidewalks & Biking**

50. Sidewalks are in bad shape. Street cleanliness, striping and park landscaping could be improved or at least put on an annual schedule.
51. More paving needs to be done in the town before we lose all of our roads. This is very important. We are spending more tax dollars on things that should be put on the back burner not the other way around. I fear we are losing our roads in town. Maple Street and Guild Hill are prime examples. The problem is, however, not limited to these roads. Just look at the rest.
52. Improved road maintenance and traffic flow patterns (interstate ramps, etc.).
53. Bike lane on Main Street.
54. Bike path (Stowe to Valley).

### **Library**

55. A new and larger library with community meeting space and room for small groups to meet learn computer skills, etc.
56. Larger library that meets our need.
57. A new library is a must and new town offices. These should be done together.

### **Irene**

58. Irene was a set back but also an opportunity to set a vision for what that portion of town could become. It needs to be redeveloped as quickly as possible.
59. More support for Revitalizing Waterbury.



60. Revitalizing Waterbury is doing a nice job addressing these issues.

### Other

61. Attracting more visitors to our town.
62. We need a taxi or van – some public transportation. Bus?
63. Make improvements to the town pool. Many kids depend on it.
64. High-speed internet needed across entire town.
65. We need a cohesive vision for what Waterbury will look like in the future.
66. More communication on town goals/planning.
67. Control growth.
68. Zoning regs for the houses on South Main Street should be revisited.
69. More affordable housing.
70. Increase in housing overall but primarily affordable housing!

## **2. What specific changes are needed to improve roads, sidewalks, trails, transportation in Waterbury?**

### Bikes

1. Bikes belong on road not sidewalks – if you have wheels you are a vehicle (except small children).
2. If I have to register my car to drive on the roadways, I think bikes should too!
3. Make biking people buy plates to help pay for the work done on road.
4. Shoulders are very unsafe (ruts, holes, etc.). We must ride in roadway.
5. Bike lanes.
6. Biking on Main Street Stowe Street difficult.
7. Bike lanes and signage.
8. Designated bike lanes would be wonderful. I ride along the side of the road and there are many potholes and obstacles I have to ride around, and when I get in the line of traffic I feel very unsafe. More speed limit enforcements would also be great!
9. Bike path from village to center and to Stowe. Improve sidewalks.

### Sidewalks

10. More sidewalks to encourage walking.
11. Skateboarders will get hit or killed (Legion to Reservoir).
12. Sidewalks need to be brought up to ADA code.
13. Add sidewalks in Center. Reduce speed.
14. Repair sidewalks. Find more off-street parking. Resurface roads so they're not minefields for bike tires.
15. Improve sidewalks. Expand trail (walk/bike) network throughout town.
16. Pedestrian access on Route 100.
17. Safe crossing near Snowfire for kids going to GMPA and CVGA.
18. Repainting of the crosswalks earlier after winter would be better but I think that's a state issue.
19. Connect sidewalks and paths together, e.g. sidewalk at end of Winooski Street.
20. Sidewalks. Crosswalks need to be enforced. Speed bumps on Stowe Street.
21. Many areas of sidewalk at grade with the road which is scary at times of traffic.
22. There is not a safe way to get into Waterbury from the north. Going down 100 to crossroads is not viable for walking and very busy, and Stowe Street is so narrow poor sidewalk that is really more related to the street than a sidewalk. This road needs desperately widened repaired and marked with a bike lane, safe sidewalk, etc. It has become the main route to Waterbury Village now that there is a light at Exit 10 and the Crossroads intersection takes forever to get through!
23. More (and wider) sidewalks would be nice.
24. Sidewalks in village are in rough shape. Sidewalks in town (Waterbury Center) are non-existent making walking along roads hazardous.
25. I have to give the sidewalks a poor rating because I think there is a need for improvement. We really need a sidewalk to Shaw's and surrounding development for one.
26. Sidewalks in the Center. Walking here is unsafe. There are limited accommodations for bikers.
27. Sidewalk on Stowe St could use some improvement especially between the underpass and Hillcrest Terrace.
28. Would love a sidewalk all the way on Route 100 to Stowe!!
29. Repair broken sidewalks.

30. Better sidewalks. Often trip while walking. Sometimes flooded and muddy. One has to be careful.
31. Fix the sidewalks.
32. A sidewalk or trail or path of some sort that connects the middle school to village to center.
33. Sidewalks and biking are NOT where we need to spend any funds.
34. Sidewalks (with curbs) in the downtown/Main Street area. High curbs (similar to Stowe) make a sidewalk look welcoming - give a 'finished' appearance to a town (inviting investment/tourism).
35. Need curb on sidewalk at corner of Main St and Park Row where the traffic lane is inches from the sidewalk. This is very dangerous without a curb. Also the traffic light on Route 100 that is set up so interstate exiting traffic from southbound 89 can quickly make the left towards Waterbury Center trips too fast. This is terribly annoying!!! This should not trip instantly at 8 p.m.!! It should be functioning only during peak hours when traffic may back up onto interstate. It isn't doing its intended job now. It is just annoying.
36. Better walking and biking on Route 100.
37. We need a crosswalk and cross light at the bottom of Blush Hill Road. There is no complete stop for walkers or bike riders to cross this busy road safely.
38. More sidewalks. Placement of wires underground.
39. Sidewalks are horrible for wheelchairs and strollers. Very poor conditions dangerous holes and level changes. Hopefully new sidewalks will be more ADA compliant. Need crossing on top of dry bridge on Stowe St to allow for good visibility.

#### **Paths and Trails**

40. More bike paths.
41. Would love to have bike trails similar to Stowe.
42. Bike paths / sidewalks.
43. No more paths and trail and wider street in village. Fix what we have the way it is.
44. Continue with the proposed biking/walking paths.
45. Continue with plans to create a bike/walking path which connects the center to Crossett Brook MS.

46. It would be so nice to have some walking trails for people of all ages.
47. Signs to help people find walking/biking path behind cornfields and state office complex.
48. Safe paths for biking to bypass extremely congested roads.
49. Continue development of bike/ped paths in Waterbury Village & improve and widen bike lanes on Route 100 between Waterbury & Stowe.
50. The village could use better walking and biking access to get around the village. A walking/biking connection needs to be made with the town. It would be great if a walking/biking trail went from the village to the town center green. Possibly with a business going into the old town general store that would cater to walkers/bikers/people using Hope Davey Park.
51. Walking/biking to the Middle School safely created.

#### **Roads**

52. Wider road shoulders where sidewalks are unavailable.
53. Wider shoulders for walking and biking when possible.
54. Many roads need more room allocated to walking and biking.
55. The shoulders are terrible through downtown. The intersection of Hollow Rd and Rt. 100 in the center needs to be fixed it is very dangerous.
56. Roads and sidewalks need to be rebuilt.
57. Road ditches need rubble in them to help stop silting in.
58. Secondary roads too bumpy.
59. Roads need upkeep.
60. Main Street / downtown is in horrible condition.
61. Less plowing and sanding due to cost, pollution and its impossible to make perfect.
62. We are faced with demands to make our roads faster straighter and more amenable to higher speeds. We should resist these demands. I would like to see attention paid to traffic calming which includes allowing roads to feel less like a super highway. I wish we could have less ditching and tree cutting along some of our roads so that we can get more of a canopy effect over the road. I realize this makes for more maintenance at times but the contribution to quality of life (more visually appealing more inviting for walking and biking) is worth it.

63. As our town officers are painfully aware, both Stowe Street and especially High Street are in need of major repair. Residents understand the priorities and are being extremely patient.
64. There are a few crazy intersections in Waterbury Center that could use better traffic direction. For example, the one in the Center with the telephone pole in the center of the road and the one where Hollow Road meets Route 100. Also eventually Guptil will need a light at 100.
65. Properly paved roads. Larger shoulder for bikers. Even and obvious sidewalks.
66. Repave Main Street and Stowe Street paint a bike lane on Main St. Maybe between the sidewalk and the street parking there is enough room.

#### **Road Maintenance**

67. Waterbury highway/road crews do an excellent job addressing repairs and ongoing maintenance throughout the year.
68. More blacktop repairs – though we can't afford it.
69. Start and complete projects in a timely manner and improve safety of road projects.
70. Plowing of Ashford Lane is poor. Kennedy and Acord Drive are plowed much more often.
71. With all the grading done on certain town roads would it be just as economical to pave them?
72. Too much salt is spread on our winter roads especially gravel roads.
73. Maintenance kept up.
74. In general annual road and sidewalk maintenance doesn't seem to happen till the fall. Next thing you know it's winter and we really didn't get to enjoy them especially when the kids are out of school and tourists in town. Seems that come May 1<sup>st</sup> those items should be scheduled and addressed before school lets out.

#### **Traffic**

75. Too much traffic on Route 100 and downtown in village – creates unsafe conditions.

76. Do something about the traffic on Route 100! Rush hour is a nightmare and everyone exceeds the speed limit. I have never seen the State Police monitoring the speed of cars on Route 100 between the Interstate exit and Stowe.
77. A traffic light may be needed at Guptil/ 100. Problem is it's needed in the morning but probably not any other time of day.
78. It's the drivers who need to improve ...
79. Maintenance of town/village roads.

#### **Enforcement**

80. More police pick up for speeding.
81. More crosswalks and enforcement downtown. More traffic speed enforcement on Main Street especially by Dac Rowe. More education for drivers and punishment of scofflaws.
82. Vehicular speed in town needs to be kept down.
83. Speed limits could be reduced on some roads.

#### **Other**

84. Need to be specific whether village or not to rate these. Big difference between them.
85. Air quality is poor downtown. Breathing is difficult. Too much traffic pollution.
86. The merger is necessary so that Selectboard is responsible for all aspects of Waterbury.
87. I would love to have a community dog park for people in the town & village.
88. Have to believe money.
89. None.

### **3. What specific changes are needed to improve housing in Waterbury?**

#### **Affordable Housing**

1. I hear we need more low-income housing.
2. Create more affordable housing for young people on limited income including couples without children.
3. Beautiful beautiful area. We're house shopping and it's expensive. The quality of living justifies it and I understand the trade off.

4. More affordable housing for families and condos for older people who want to stay/or move into community.
5. More affordable housing projects - we don't need condos like Stowe we need real homes for real people.
6. More housing for people who don't want to spend a fortune. Affordable is needed.
7. More affordable housing.
8. Overwhelming governmental "hoops" make development of affordable housing too expensive for landowners to consider.
9. Need more affordable condo/apartments for medium income people = not just low income.
10. Affordable accessible and safe housing for young families is limited.
11. Something needs to be done to rein in taxes. It's making housing unaffordable.
12. Housing stock is old. Rents are high. Housing choice for young families especially is limited and expensive.
13. Rents are too high, especially for young people starting out on their own.
14. Develop some affordable housing projects.
15. We need more low income housing and better laws to keep them affordable.
16. More moderately priced apartments.
17. Affordable housing.
18. More "entry-level" homes and multi-family units.

#### **Village/Downtown**

19. It would be nice if more of the Main St houses were actually used by families and not business and agencies that seem to rarely be open.
20. Stop developing areas for retail.
21. We should encourage housing mixed with compatible business especially in the village.
22. Incentives for landlords in village. They pay both town and village taxes plus non-homestead rate plus no rebates.

#### **Regulations**

23. We need to further look at zoning incentives to encourage additional housing units and funding to assist in development where possible.
24. Allowing tightly clustered development to save costs of infrastructure makes sense as long as the clustering is offset by preservation of open space.
25. Home inspections! Be thorough! Enforce the trash pick-up laws! It should be weekly not once every two weeks, for example, at the Huntington Place condos.
26. Include speed of getting zoning and other regs.

#### **Other**

27. Taxes are high. Homes/property lose value. Is that quality?
28. Lower taxes, time.
29. Waterbury is a great place to live and housing is hard to find and more expensive than many places.
30. Housing outside of village limited due to lack of access to public water and sewer.
31. Maybe the town could buy up some of the really bad housing near retail zone and encourage smart development.
32. More apartments – parking.
33. Better, healthier economy overall.
34. Housing quality – Is it safe? Hygienic? Mold?
35. Not of interest to me.
36. I am not in the market so feel it unfair to rate.

#### **4. What specific changes are needed to improve job opportunities, economic development, or businesses in Waterbury?**

##### **State Complex**

1. State complex for jobs.
2. Creative use of state office complex a possibility.
3. We need the state office complex back and the merger would allow current funds to assist in development of additional jobs to be used in all of Waterbury not just the village.

4. Bring the state back ;- ) More economic development vision & experience on the town level. Most SB folks have very little understanding of economic development.
5. Waterbury will continue to thrive if it keeps lobbying for the state complex to return. Also flood mitigation of the village is critical to keeping the state complex and residents if another big rain event were to happen. If my house flooded again i would definitely move.
6. The state office complex people need to come back to Waterbury. The sooner the better.
7. Jobs were better when the state was here.
8. We need to develop more opportunities for business to survive given the possible reduction in state workers.

#### **Types of Businesses**

9. Many goods are high priced in Waterbury.
10. We could use a clothing store that sells things that aren't specialties.
11. No place to buy affordable shoes, boots and clothes.
12. Clothing store.
13. Challenge to purchase basic "goods" such as clothing, chicken feed, lumber, etc.
14. Need to be able to shop for clothing and shoes.
15. Need something besides pizza parlors, bars.
16. I can't get everything I need in Waterbury, but I can get a lot.
17. We need an affordable place to buy quality shoes and clothes. We do not need any more bars or restaurants we need places to shop.
18. Need a 'variety' store.
19. Need more stores for clothing arts/crafts Vermont-made products.
20. The quality of the existing stores are great but there are some basic items that you must head to Burlington to obtain.
21. We need a general store in town.
22. Seriously lacks retail space. Had to go to Williston to buy a spool of thread!
23. Waterbury has many fine dining opportunities but lacks many other types of retail shopping. The internet and competition from regional big box stores are the reason for this.

24. Needs a bike shop as the 5 Hills just closed.
25. Could use a really good horse tack store in Waterbury.
26. Office supplies or school supplies are not available in the Town of Waterbury.
27. I miss Vincents.

#### **Availability of Space/Land**

28. No place to build, which means no opportunity for high paying jobs.
29. Lack of space for new business. Change zoning?
30. Without sewer and water outside the village expansion of opportunities will remain limited by availability of services within the village.
31. We should designate areas in the village and town for economic development, new businesses, etc.

#### **Employment Opportunities**

32. Create more job opportunities for high school and in-college students, as well as increase opportunities for adults for full-time good-paying jobs so that they can support families.
33. Job opportunities for teens like a retail movie theater.
34. There aren't many job opportunities here. Even GMCR is a "local" business doesn't hire locally.
35. At the current time job opportunities are scarce. Some businesses are hurting. The reduction of state employees is taking its toll.

#### **Other**

36. The town could invest in marketing itself to businesses and consumers – or incentivize its businesses to promote Waterbury.
37. Keep support of downtown business strong.
38. Anything that will attract business. Lower taxes. Energy breaks.
39. Keep increasing local specialty companies and those like B&J, GMC.
40. GMCR needs to hire more locals for the better paying jobs.
41. We are lucky to have GMCR Ben & Jerry's and some great small businesses.

42. We have two large employers in town which is great. It would be nice to encourage growth of some smaller business. Technology?
43. Despite Irene there is a lot going on in the Village which suggests a bright future. Waterbury is becoming a place where people come to shop dine and recreate. That makes it even more important that we pay attention to walkability aesthetics and preserving our open spaces.
44. Our taxes in Waterbury are too high now and we lose people because of high taxes.
45. Vermont in general is hostile territory for any entrepreneur.
46. Leasing needs to be more affordable.
47. An economic development office would aid in improving these items.

**5. What specific changes are needed to improve emergency response and public safety in Waterbury?**

1. Police don't communicate internally very well or keep good records.
2. More support/ training/ personnel improvement for police (getting rid of them is not the answer). Better integration between police and state folks.
3. One rarely sees the officers in the Village unless they are ticketing for speeding. When Officer Burrows was employed he was a frequent face around town both on foot in on patrol. The police chief appears unhealthy and you never see him unless you go in the station. The police department should be restructured or disbanded.
4. I would like to see community policing. As a business owner I want to see the police out & about in town. Walk the streets of the village stop by & talk to business owners. I see a lot of activity on Stowe Street, but I do not see the police force out. I support the department but they really need better leadership and a better image in town.
5. Police force needs more foot presence on street not just sitting in motor running car waiting for an occasional speeder. Traffic to Ice Center can be busy and when there are special events it's dangerous to walk (walkers seem to annoy hockey/soccer moms). Need police presence when there are special events. Schedules should be given to police. Ice Center should be required to purchase speed bumps or have them installed permanently. We were told they couldn't be permanent because of plowing - must be Randle St doesn't get plowed.
6. Need to work on professionalism of police. This has brought about a lack of trust which is leading to the village voting whether to keep them or not. This never would have happened if the police was professional.
7. Encourage police however current police does not spend time wisely.
8. Decrease the size of the police force.
9. Healthier local police force.
10. Town manager needs to do a better job of managing police personnel. It's almost too late now.
11. The town police are a joke and a waste of tax dollars.
12. Get a new police department.
13. Get rid of village police. State police can handle.
14. Eliminate village police.
15. The town does not need its own police force and I'm not sure the village needs one either. For serious crime, the state police are available. For nuisance petty complaints, a contract with state police or sheriff is preferable.
16. As a town resident I live on a private road without public plowing or road maintenance. I do not want an expansion of the police force. I have a very high tax rate for the services I receive but choose to live here based on the quality of life. If taxes increase, I will no longer be able to afford it.
17. Village police is quite adequate.
18. Leave village police as is.
19. Leave police department alone. Use some respect.
20. I am satisfied with current response. Nothing needs to be changed!
21. We need to support & maintain the Waterbury PD. The state police do a great job but they are already stretched too thin. Have had excellent experiences with our local PD!
22. Keep police presence downtown. I'll pay the extra taxes.
23. Give everybody a raise and more staff. Increase.
24. Town wide police services. Better traffic control in village especially during events commuter time at bottom of ramp Stowe St during AM school drop off.
25. Local police should cover town and in village should direct traffic at critical times and places. Perhaps we should have two police districts with different costs to taxpayers or contract with state police for coverage outside the village.

26. Definitely need to keep police department.
27. We need to keep village police force and expand to town.
28. Again merger is necessary to adequate fund and support a properly staffed townwide police department. The village cannot continue to fully fund an adequately staffed police department. The response by the town fire department and ambulance service is outstanding.
29. I think it's important to maintain a local police presence. Let's not forget when it was voted to cut the police budget & how the village responded to a subsequent hike in crime. I think looking back on the police blotter for the Waterbury Record will speak for itself & how the police presence is missed. I certainly don't want to wait for a state officer to come from Worcester VT to help me if I run into trouble. (No slight to the state police). It just doesn't make sense to sacrifice our safety to save some money. Please let's not cut essential services.
30. The possibility that police services in the village may be eliminated is short sighted as it will adversely impact the business environment here. For one thing it is highly likely that due to the interstate Waterbury without police protection will become a focal point for drugs.
31. A village and town without police services (possibility in near future) is not an advertising feature guaranteed to attract new business.
32. Town police could improve response for town residents. Traffic enforcement too!
33. I hope I never need these services but I do wonder how long it might take a police officer to respond to a situation in the middle of the night.
34. As a resident along Route 100 I question whether stretching our limited police coverage to include a marijuana pharmacy is wise. Two other towns/cities have vetoed the idea which heightens the questionability.
35. Better traffic control options to crease ease of movement for emergency vehicles.
36. Install a couple of cameras in areas that have repeat problems.
37. Road sign at Evergreen Woods might help to locate us.
38. Nationally, end drug habits.
39. Upkeep.
40. Have felt uncomfortable with young children at Village Market and Rusty Memorial Park with emotionally disturbed people.

41. Emergencies and disasters could be improved if more leaders of our town would get training themselves.

## **6. What specific changes are needed to improve the natural environment and access to recreation in Waterbury?**

### **Noise & Air Quality**

1. Green Mountain Coffee Roasters puts out a lot of noise near my house (from exhaust, etc. on buildings).
2. GMCR pollutes with noise, smell and traffic in the village. Let them expand in the town for a change.
3. Traffic noise is loud in Waterbury and many days downwind from GMCR the air smells funny, like some sort of gaseous odor.
4. Jake brakes need to be banned. Other towns have signs, Lets get some in Waterbury.
5. Stop train constant blaring through village at 1 a.m.
6. Stop outside music at 8:30 p.m.
7. There need to be tougher ordinances regarding barking dogs. Dogs that bark for hours at a time do not allow neighbors to enjoy the quiet of their own backyard.
8. Noise of Route 100 and interstate are the only negatives on noise.
9. We are what we are. Close to major highway. Good and bad for noise, traffic.
10. Bad car pollution. Water needs to be filtered. Drinking water sometimes stinky. Will we be sick when the state offices are worked on? All that dust, mold, lead, old paint going into our air?
11. Air quality regulations – no outside wood boilers in hollows and prohibit old dirty wood stoves and furnaces – or incentives upgrades.

### **Parks and Recreation**

12. Take better advantage of existing natural areas – parking, paths, tables, safe areas for children.
13. This all can happen if we ourselves, and we teach our children to, enjoy our state parks. Nothing to buy or build. Just enjoy.
14. Waterbury is fortunate to have the state parks at Little River and Waterbury Center.

15. New Hope Davey playground. New pool.
16. Playground at Hope Davey needs updating.
17. The town parks need work. The playgrounds at Hope Davie and the one by the Waterbury pool need to be improved greatly.
18. Town parks need upgrading as far as play structures for children.
19. We could use some more infrastructure at Dac Rowe. A concession stand would be nice.
20. Some form of water reduction should be done at the Dac Rowe field. Terrible when it rains.
21. Dac Rowe Anderson Park & town pool could use some major improvements (i.e. better restroom facilities, adding snack bar, etc.).
22. All great. Grooming the walking path and track around Dac Rowe for cross country skiing would be nice. Also nice would be developing the park behind Thatcher Brook School that was promised to be made a park when Waterbury Center fire station did the land swap.
23. More parkland. Town farm. Town forest.
24. Need fewer ball fields, more general use green space.
25. Increase overall greenspace in Waterbury.
26. Add more green space by preserving open space currently available by giving land owners incentives to preserve (knowledge of how land trusts can benefit them etc.).
27. We should look to develop a couple areas for quiet enjoyment of the views and nature. It would be great to have a quiet interpretive path in Sweets Well field on Loomis Hill where people could walk, sit and enjoy the nature around them. We should have a dog park for people to exercise their dogs in an area other than the sidewalks.
28. Where are the "town parks?" Beyond the rec fields and Rusty Parker are there any others? Nature parks? Town hiking trail?
29. If there are places to enjoy nature/quiet/scenic views then please make them known.
30. Recreational softball players have been drunk and disorderly (peeing in our backyard).
31. Who takes care of skate park? I clean garbage every time I'm there with kids. Poorly managed/supervised.

32. Public parks are generally left to the care of others.
33. Parks are little appreciated by municipal authority and are maintained involvement. Fortunately there is a municipal commitment to maintain recreational fields. Waterbury could use more play areas for children and better maintain what they already have.

#### **Trails**

34. Perry hill trails are amazing. No longer a local secret. Love the town center summer concert series.
35. Could use more trails in town for walking/biking.
36. The mountain biking corridor being developed between Stowe and Waterbury is great. And we have great riding on Perry Hill. How can we promote this to create tourism for the town? I think you would find this demographic has disposable income and would spend \$\$ at restaurants and businesses here in town.
37. It would be good to get more people to explore the village and town by developing walking/biking paths that connect the 2 areas.
38. I enjoy walking and biking around town.

#### **Rivers & Reservoir**

39. River beds need to be cleaned out and deepened.
40. Clean up Thatcher Brook along Route 100. Make it more scenic.
41. Improve the quality of water in the Reservoir due to the milfoil problem.
42. Landfill on Rt 2 is a big risk terrible odors and likely to pollute the river. Would like to see much more emphasis on river quality and seeing the river as a place for recreation.
43. Relocate town sand pile on Moran Ln away from Thatcher Brook where it currently erodes directly into the brook.
44. Rivers need to be free of pollution from up river sources.
45. Quality of the Winooski River and downstream waters may be negatively impacted by the quality of Waterbury's sewer discharge and if so should be addressed.
46. Needs improvement: More riparian buffers and improved soils along the river (i.e. no more conventional corn in the floodplain that contributes to



phosphorous loading of Lake Champlain). And better land use planning / management - less sprawl!

### **Other**

47. Growth threatens to destroy the quality of life.
48. Improved water treatment plant and sewer system available through out town
49. Culverts should be installed with fish passage enabled. Shaw Mansior dip is case in point.
50. Increased citizen involvement with groups such as Waterbury LEAP would help to improve things in these areas. Waterbury is blessed with a lot of concerned activist folks who care about these issues.
51. Still quite dusty nice street trees. Continue maintenance on them please!
52. We are blessed and need to keep it that way.
53. Limiting the use of security lighting - particularly at higher elevations where their impact is particularly disturbing.

## **7. What specific changes are needed to improve community services in Waterbury?**

### **Library**

1. The library needs more space.
2. Staffing and programs at the library are excellent but the space is inadequate and too small for a community of our size.
3. The library is too small for a town of our size. Needs more space.
4. New library!
5. We definitely need a new and better library in town.
6. Need new library.
7. Library is too small. Sometimes we go elsewhere to have more room for the kids to move about and enjoy books without being cramped
8. Library needs to be expanded. Need a pediatrician in Waterbury again.
9. Build new library at site of Wasson and Stanley Hall. Best to tear down both and start anew!

10. We need a new library! We need something a lot bigger with more selections, DVDs and programming.
11. Need new library as we all know.
12. The library while doing a wonderful job with what it has is woefully inadequate.
13. Library has outgrown there antiquated space. Hopefully they will be given space in Stanley Hall if that is purchased.
14. We need a bigger and modern library.
15. New library building.
16. Also I like the library but it needs to be bigger and more modern facilities.
17. The library does a wonderful job with the poor space it occupies and should be replaced.
18. We must get a new library. It is critical to the infrastructure of our town.
19. We need a new library facility.
20. The library needs more space.
21. Given the dedication of our library's board and volunteers functioning despite the cramped inadequate facility one has to realize how much more vital this precious asset could be! The library and our Historical Society need space so badly!!
22. Library service is good considering work conditions in an out-moded, too small building.
23. The service at the library is fantastic.
24. The library does the best it can in its current location but needs updating.
25. Library is very small with little selection and could use updating.
26. New library.
27. New, bigger library.
28. Library needs "major" technology update with more open space for groups.
29. I would like library to have some evening and Sunday hours.
30. Library needs a new/larger space.
31. We need a new library.
32. New library building!
33. Library is excellent but needs more space.
34. Expand the library.

35. Excellent quality of the library – This refers to the extraordinary efforts and service by the people who are “the library” to us. The building and space are so substandard for this use!
36. New library building and facilities.
37. The staff at the library do a great job with what they have. We need a new library!
38. We need a new and up-to-date library that can provide the services to people that can't afford them otherwise. The library could provide activities for seniors as well.

### **Schools**

39. The schools enjoy a very good reputation. The world is moving to digital. The decision to expand the library is confusing when most communities are downsizing.
40. Better drama programs in the schools would be good.
41. If you're asking about TBPS i think it's excellent CBMS good and HUHS poor!
42. Teach our kids! Eliminate nonsense field trips.
43. Our schools should be best in nation for what we pay. Our number of kids declines – taxes should go down.
44. Class sizes are small. Test scores are low but taxes still keep going up. Increase class size and teach teachers how to teach basics.

### **Youth Activities**

45. Work to create activities for youth in this community. There really are none!
46. Great athletic fields ice center swimming pool but little to do for teens after school and weekends.
47. Great recreational program – soccer, football, biking, etc.
48. Need positive activities for teens. Need stronger rec program.
49. Something for teens.
50. There are lots of activities through Middle School (i.e. after school sports dance etc.) but there is not a place where high school kids can hang out.
51. Aside from sports there is nothing for tween/teens/young adults to do but get into drugs and cause trouble! Bowl? Movie? Mini golf?

### **Town Pool**

52. The swimming pool is a wonderful resource and rare in a community of our size but little appreciated by town government and accordingly in a sad state. Access is limited to those families able to pay. Sure there is a state day use swimming area but that also can cost a young family dearly so is available to those who can afford it.
53. Waterbury is fortunate to have a public swimming pool however I believe it in sad shape and unaffordable to many young families.

### **Senior Activities**

54. Wish there were more opportunities for seniors to attend some of the events around (e.g. theatre). Many of us cannot drive at night.
55. More activity for seniors more capable of doing/going more (check out Montpelier's Senior Center and activities).

### **Healthcare**

56. Add a psychiatrist & drug/alcohol specialist to Waterbury Medical to handle these needs in the community
57. Need competition for Waterbury Medical.
58. When I moved here I tried to go to the local doctor in Waterbury Village and was told they were accepting “no new patients.” I found that frustrating.

### **Childcare**

59. There aren't a lot of daycare options.
60. Childcare is limited.

### **Other**

61. If funding warrants it a full time “cultural” manager could address such needs that affect youth/seniors & others.
62. Need more public transport or taxis for hire.
63. We have all we need, plus, but it seems we are teaching our children that if it doesn't come with a price tag it's not good enough.
64. No children so I'm going to skew your results.
65. I'd lump Waterbury in with the Mad River Valley.

## 8. What specific changes are needed to improve community infrastructure?

### Parking

1. Need to enforce 2 hour or 15 minute parking.
2. There is not enough parking. Why take away parking and build a bike path.
3. More public parking.
4. More parking around Stowe Street and Route 2 intersection.
5. Better signage to municipal parking.
6. Keep parking that already exists in the village. Strike deal with TD Bank to build 3 story parking garage in their lot with hourly parking meters to pay for it.
7. Parking would be better if police enforced time limits.
8. Don't take parking spaces away with the downtown improvement – create more.
9. Lots of parking. Takes a long time to figure out where it is if you didn't grow up in Waterbury.
10. Parking in the village is terrible.
11. One idea for healthy communities is to have fewer parking near stores and have people walk. Interesting idea.
12. The condition of the municipal parking lots are in need of repair regular cleaning and stripping.
13. Need more free parking to increase business in village.
14. Parking needs better signage and Main st. needs to be redone!
15. Parking in the village will be a much more severe problem if parking spaces are reduced along South Main St.
16. As the village grows and attracts more business parking may eventually become a problem.
17. Parking is becoming quite an issue as Waterbury grows.
18. Evening functions in town are particularly difficult for seniors who must find distant parking due to congestion from the bars and restaurants.
19. Reserving public parking spaces on Main Street for specific businesses is the wrong approach to parking management. Ticketing for using public spaces in front of specific businesses is the WRONG approach to parking management.

20. Public parking in the village must be expanded (the new street project will actually exacerbate an already bad situation.
21. Don't give up limited parking we now have to bike path. Need to do all we can to help local businesses
22. Parking is always an issue. Spaces can be found but it usually involves a lot of walking to destination. Not a problem for me but it is for many.
23. Parking in the village already limited will be made worse with the new street project.
24. More parking! The new signs are helpful.. Maybe designated locations for local businesses & their employees/tenants to park so we can have more available parking for customers.
25. Parking is good now but in future will need more.

### Energy

26. An easy [illegible] would be to make the tennis court lighting coin operated or at least controlled by users not on auto pilot.
27. Like I have said, fix what we have but all this solar energy is like trying to put all our eggs in one basket. Very bad idea.
28. We should be looking into use of solar panels to supplement energy for municipal use.
29. Energy efficiency could be improved with a greener facility built for town offices, library, historical society, and police.
30. Solar installations for town facilities. Wind turbine for the ice center.
31. More support & investment in energy efficiency (as opposed to focusing only on solar which seems to be the trend.
32. Alternative energy resources.
33. New municipal infrastructure must be designed to be energy efficient.
34. As new facilities are built energy efficiency must be paramount. Waterbury should be a leader in renewables and recycling.

### Stormwater

35. I'd encourage municipality to work with Champlain Blue when planning stormwater issues.

36. Public Works needs to keep storm drains clear.
37. Some storm water drains are higher than the property around it. How can they drain?
38. Who cares about stormwater when we need to think bigger like flood of 2011. Parking is what it is.

#### **Telecommunications**

39. Cell phones don't work everywhere in Waterbury.
40. We need to press to get high speed internet throughout the town. It's my number 1 issue with living here. I live off Shaw Mansion in Evergreen Woods. I now need to commute every day of the week and some weekends to Burlington. With high speed internet I could work from home 2-3 days a week. My job and I'm assuming most people jobs are increasingly being tied to internet access with work programs becoming "cloud based". What can we do to make this happen?
41. HS internet service is spotty as is cell service (almost non-existent in the Center).
42. HS internet and cell phone access is spotty at best. As an investment in the future Waterbury should do better.
43. Surprisingly there still are pockets of poor cell service.
44. Need AT&T service increased in the center.
45. DSL provided by Fairpoint outside the Village is an important improvement but still relatively slow especially during heavy usage at which time it slows to dialup.

#### **Water/Sewer**

46. Hopefully town will be addressing the need to upgrade old outdated water system in next few years.
47. Access to water/sewer is fine. Expansion in my opinion isn't needed.
48. Water and sewer should be extended along the Route 100 corridor.
49. Sewer extensions in Waterbury Center.
50. Sewer and water should have a plan for future expansion north of the village.

51. Decisions about expanding water and sewer need to be very carefully made. If the lines go up Route 100 the pressures for sprawl will be intense. It is a mistake to think that zoning can prevent it.
52. We do not have public water or sewer.

#### **Other**

53. We need a new municipal building.
54. We do not have public water or sewer.

### **9. What specific changes are needed to improve local government?**

#### **Merger**

1. Would we please have one government! Having our town manager etc. deal with two separate governing bodies for a place this small is a waste of their time
2. Two governing boards for a community the size of Waterbury is a waste of time and energy. Merger must continue to be pursued.
3. I hope there will be a merger of the Village and Town but until that occurs the Town should not take on expenses that properly belong to the Village (for example providing facilities for the Village police).
4. A merger would enable more efficient and a simpler government with one vision going forward.
5. It is stupid to fight the merger of the village and town.

#### **Communications**

6. The Town Website could be more informative about ongoing activities.
7. Town and Village should have a FB page and Twitter feed more frequent website updates and a more positive engagement with citizens.
8. Publicity of and interactive website where up-to-date info is available on town meetings and plans. Don't wait for the Waterbury Record or Burlington Free Press or TV station to fill us all in.
9. FPF is a great resource.
10. Must continue to work on informing residents of area when decisions that may impact them are being made. I'm not a Winooski St resident but I don't think

the handling of park land swap of community garden land for library project was handled professionally at all. Too sneaky.

11. Would like to see synopsis of select board meetings in each Waterbury Record - like in Exit 10.
12. When public hearings occur it would be great if the public attended.
13. Citizens need immediate information about what is going on with government, planning boards, school boards. It seems we find out too late.
14. If one doesn't have computers, no access to issues.
15. Town meeting day is a joke for those people with jobs. It needs to be changed so that everyone has a chance to vote and be heard.
16. More attention to citizen concerns. Seems like business concerns always trump citizen concerns such as the comedy fest in Waterbury Center.

#### **Taxes**

17. It's a choice I made when moving to a private development. However taxes have continued to increase and the tax rate is not representative of what every homeowner pays as many have tax assistance.
18. Over taxed. Budget needs review! Unhappy with lister. Had not been in our house since mid-80's. Did not visit after flood as he didn't think we'd been affected when he "drove by" despite our house being on a chart from Irene as having 60% damage. Took a few calls to right the issue only after we'd read that he had visited all affected homes.
19. Oversight of tax dollars spent is good. I believe the best is done with the amount of tax dollars brought in.
20. It will never be excellent because majority of taxes goes to schools. I guess for the amount that goes to the town things are good.
21. Less taxes for school and more municipal.
22. Taxes too high.
23. Cost of services are high, but know that the high cost is due to number of people in town. Cost of living in small town in Vermont - a double edge sword.
24. The value of the municipal tax is great but the school tax adds to the burden without apparent good value the municipal tax is.
25. Would be OK paying more for better roads and police service.

#### **Town Employees & Volunteers**

26. Love all our volunteers and paid staff. Stellar people.
27. We are fortunate to have one of the best town/village managers in the State.
28. We have an outstanding Town Manager here in Waterbury. He & all town officials provided excellent leadership during Irene crisis.
29. The town police department is a complete waste of assets!
30. Town manger is a good money manger but poor people manager. Many town elected officials are corrupt and favoritism is shown to business owners over townspeople.
31. Town planner is slow on follow through.

#### **Roads**

32. What services besides schools? Good job on roads.
33. Road maintenance and safe, smooth traffic patterns at all hours are a key measure of a community's concerns for its citizens.
34. Roads are in awful condition

#### **Municipal Facility**

35. Build a new municipal facility that includes the library & historical society so info access and people can come together in one place. Police too?
36. New building to house it.

#### **Other**

37. We have groups that plan what they want for Waterbury and no matter what most of the people want doesn't matter or even considered because they believe they know what's best for everyone.
38. Our local government does a superb job with scarce resources. My only fears are that the town will take on more responsibilities than it has now and that we will allow Waterbury to become the provider of services to other communities without asking them to pay their share as we have done for example with the ice center.
39. No improvements in Center for sidewalks, roads, lighting.

## 10. What specific locations or natural resources should be protected?

### Reservoir

1. Reservoir [19]
2. Properties around reservoir. [2]
3. Reservoir at Blush Hill is under developed. Needs work.
4. Waterworks
5. Encroachment on the Reservoir.

### Uplands & Ridgelines

6. Camel's Hump [10]
7. Loomis Hill [5]
8. Sweet Road [3]
9. Blush Hill [2]
10. Hunger Mt. [2]
11. Ridgelines [3]
12. Wooster Ridge [3]
13. Perry Hill [2]
14. The flanks of the Greens and the Worcesters for sure!
15. Protect higher elevations from housing development.

### Scenic Views

16. Mountain views
17. Views [3]
18. Viewsheds
19. Scenic views [2]
20. Views are difficult to protect on private except by zoning restrictions on high elevation mountain sides.
21. River Road

### Farms & Farmland

22. Woodard Farm

23. Farmland / open meadows.
24. Farmland north of Mavis Road.
25. All remaining farmland.
26. All farms
27. WC farms
28. State farm
29. Any available farmland. It is unfortunately almost entirely lost to development but there is still hope to save some.
30. Try to conserve farmland.

### Rivers & Floodplains

31. Winooski River
32. Thatcher Brook streambrook
33. Village floodplains. Change zoning laws in floodplain to reflect a cumulative effect model.
34. Limit development in floodplains.
35. We need to dig out our rivers or we will have more floods.
36. The Winooski floodplain needs to be better managed. More trees and more fields (Not bare ground with corn fields). Corn fields are very compact and do not absorb water from floods well. Pasture land does.
37. There remains an auto tire waste dump along the Winooski River west of the village which will during another flood simply wash into the river unless removed. The state will probably protect the Little River reservoir area which should be recognized and protected as a major Waterbury resource.
38. Water quality
39. Wetlands [2]
40. Floodplains
41. The village of Waterbury from future flooding
42. Riparian buffer surrounding the Winooski River should be expanded.

### Wildlife Habitat & Undeveloped Land

43. Shutesville Hill Wildlife migration corridor [2]

44. Deer wintering areas [2]
45. Habitat
46. State forests, i.e. CC Putnam Little River etc.
47. Undeveloped parcels along Route 100 [2]
48. Development on the Rt 100 corridor should be reviewed. If thoughtful well planned and controlled development to a certain degree could be an economic assess to Waterbury.
49. Large interconnected parcels of undeveloped land.
50. Undeveloped land rich in wildlife that also provides recreation such as hiking or hunting.
51. We should protect the open space when possible.
52. Use Value protects our forestland and deer yards and there is no need for local regulation.
53. Preserving forestland will take care of some other of the above list.
54. Making provisions for "green belts" ought to be included beyond those within housing developments. ie: wildlife corridors

#### **Trails & Recreation Areas**

55. Perry Hill for recreation. The trail network brings a lot of people into town and contributes significantly to our local economy. More should be done to support the trails and potentially expand them.
56. Hiking and nature trails
57. VAST trails
58. Trails
59. Mountain bike trails
60. Dac Rowe field
61. Horseshoe grassy area
62. Little river park
63. Recreational activity areas

#### **Historic Buildings and Civic Facilities**

64. State office complex [3]

65. Historic buildings
66. Pre-1900 buildings
67. The integrity of our historic buildings. Old station lumber should never have been torn down. What's next?!
68. Do we really have any "historic buildings" that require protection? If we are referring to the flooded state buildings come up with the best overall plan for that area. If that means taking down buildings so be it.

### **11. Are there other approaches to resource protection that Waterbury should consider?**

#### **Protection of Open Space**

1. Waterbury is awesome because of the environment we live in. We must protect our ridgelines and open space. Development should be more clustered to ensure open space. Also as new people come to Waterbury we need to protect our access to trails located on private land.
2. Building more in less space. Understand and incorporate Cumulative impacts of development on resources.
3. Focus on large tracts for resource protection. Not fragmented areas already impacted.
4. Identify open spaces and areas that need to remain undeveloped. Create a conservation master plan.
5. Land conservation
6. I totally support these efforts. I wish Waterbury did some of these things years ago!
7. Increasing protection in all areas now will reduce problems with trying to increase protection in the future.
8. Maybe you should create jobs patrolling resources to protect them? I suggest you look around New England. Learn from the mistakes of places like Derry, New Hampshire (way too crowded). Too much density. They lost the original character of the historic town.
9. Forestry Department should review any plan to remove large trees. Seems that we are losing trees at a whim.

### **Balancing Resource Protection and Growth**

10. A balanced approach is important. We need to protect our natural resources and farmland and support growth and energy independence.
11. It's all about common sense. We need some development and growth in this town. Yes it should be done with care for environment and aesthetics but don't choke off growth with red tape.
12. As above I think that some green areas whether for farming or wildlife habitat should receive tax easements for remaining "open" would enhance - as this has done in Stowe - the scenic aspect of the area. Limiting further 'strip development' of Route 100 would not only boost businesses in Waterbury and Waterbury Center but improve the "Byway" aspect of that vital tourist route.
13. Strike a balance between residential and commercial with Main Street and periphery streets. Restrict the typical "norm" that comes with commercial because it is right in the middle of the residential communities.
14. Affirmative action now on all development issues before "sprawl" gets away from us is of utmost importance.

### **Developed Areas**

15. Multi-family developments should include generous space for outdoor play, gardening, enjoying a natural feature - something more than "just a yard."
16. Don't build buildings in the village where there aren't already buildings. There are plenty of empty storefronts or houses to use.
17. Growth outside of designated growth centers is problematic without access to sewer and water.
18. Commercial base (i.e. retail, parking, office, etc.).
19. Fix Winooski Street bridge over river to allow downstream passage of flood waters.

### **Funding**

20. What about impact assessment fees? These are a very important tool used in other towns.
21. Unsure of the last option because it would all depend on the easements. Tax dollars are very competitive and the budget right now is very tight.

22. Find grants, federal/state money, private/corporate donations, fundraising, and work with environmental organizations and land trusts.

### **Property Rights / Reduced Regulation**

23. Landowners should be allowed to manage their own resources on their land without interference from outside entities or parties claiming entitlement that they do not have.
24. Less regulations. Allow business to develop. Lower taxes.
25. Lower taxes. Let people build business. Watch the area grow. No growth = death.
26. I don't support any rules, laws or leaders that are socialistic in anyway or form.
27. People pay taxes to have a home and land. They should be able to do what they want with it as long as it doesn't hurt neighbors.
28. I'm concerned that family farms who are deciding to sell their land will lose expected value if restrictions are placed on what can be done with the land.
29. Do not duplicate state regs and laws.

### **Process**

30. DRB members (especially builders on it) should automatically recuse themselves when they work for applicants.
31. I believe encouraging through regulations is necessary.
32. Town needs to determine resource protected area goals and weigh decisions based on goals.
33. Public hearings and voter referendum.

### **Other**

34. Don't know what DRB is.
35. What is DRB?
36. What is DRB? What are you talking about? Define your terms.
37. This question (regulate development) was poorly worded. Are you asking if we want more regulation or more of the resource? I am in favor of tightly regulating development of all of the items listed from historic buildings to hillsides.
38. Difficult to answer. Don't know where the "designated growth centers" are.



- 39. Would need more information on considered resources (inventory should help clarify this).
- 40. Need more information.

**12. What specific recreation projects or improvements should be undertaken?**

**Walking and Biking**

- 1. Improved/expanded walking and biking options.
- 2. Sidewalks, bike paths, walking trails.
- 3. Pedestrian paths.
- 4. Fix the sidewalks. More walking paths.
- 5. Make downtown a pedestrian-only area. Create ring roads around it and parking and transportation.
- 6. Bike trails. Go to Stowe – look at all the out of state traffic they generate.
- 7. 2-foot smooth bike lane on shoulder. Currently shoulders are very unsafe.
- 8. A bike/walk path along river.
- 9. Bike path in town.
- 10. Walk/bike path connecting to Stowe bike path and going to the valley.
- 11. Improve facilities for bikers and pedestrians.
- 12. Perhaps improvements to the walking path.
- 13. Connect trails and sidewalks from MRV/Crosset Brook to Little River State Park. Route 2 sidewalks, bike lanes.
- 14. Connect bike trails and systems.
- 15. Perry Hill trail improvements.
- 16. More support to MBVT for Perry Hill mountain bike trail maintenance.
- 17. Bike paths, trails
- 18. As the Stowe/Waterbury mountain bike trail comes on-line we should publicize and promote this to generate tourism.
- 19. Better walking and biking along route 100.
- 20. Mountain bike trails and foot paths - would also be good for economic development.

- 21. Make more mountain bike trails up at the Perry Hill trails by the ice center.
- 22. Building a bike network so people can ride from the south part of town (Ice Rink) to Little River State Park without having to fight cars on Route 2. Also look into creating a path from town to Crosset Brook Middle School for kids to get to school.
- 23. Bike route through downtown.
- 24. Walking/biking area behind state office complex and cornfield could be better maintained, signage w/ mile markers, include benches.
- 25. Walking/nature path around lake.
- 26. Sidewalk up route 100.
- 27. Improve pedestrian and biking lanes/paths.
- 28. Some kind of hiking/strolling path that connects to the village and passes through spots with views, stream access, that is patrolled, with benches, picnic tables, etc is one idea.

**Water Access**

- 29. Winooski River access.
- 30. Boat ramp into the Winooski.
- 31. River rafting or better funding to the Res.
- 32. Improve water access – only after merging.
- 33. Nicer access to the river.

**Parks and Recreation Areas/Facilities**

- 34. Bowling alley. Movie theatre.
- 35. Create a community center.
- 36. More use of Doc Rowe field. No drinking at events.
- 37. Improved playgrounds.
- 38. Improve Blush Hill access to reservoir.
- 39. New pool.
- 40. [illegible] indoor pool.
- 41. Improvement of pool/ rec facilities.
- 42. Improving downtown, bike paths, library.

43. Build a new library. Recreation isn't just physical – can be reading, book groups, genealogy, concerts, plays, playing cards, historical society, etc.
44. Develop the Ice Arena area to include more recreation facilities like batting cages and other fun stuff.
45. I would like to see quiet parks and a dog park. Having a dog park would be a way to reduce traffic on sidewalks and safer for bikes and pedestrians.
46. Resurface tennis courts.
47. Increase seating capacity near gazebo
48. Do a better job maintaining the outdoor ice rink by swimming pool in winter (flooding it regularly when it will be cold), a more consistent lighting schedule for the outdoor rink and tennis courts.
49. We could use a nice indoor recreation facility, perhaps adjacent to Dac Rowe or another building adjacent to ice rink.
50. Improve the parks- more playground structures etc.
51. Add concession stand and a second exit to Dac Rowe.
52. Create a picnic pavilion at Dac Rowe.
53. Closer partnership with state on state parks, additional development of ice center property with additional rec activities, redevelopment of pool area.
54. Pool needs to be either dismantled and removed for the land to be used differently or major renovations to happen so that it is upgraded and open longer through the summer.
55. Cheaper membership to the pool- as a Duxbury resident who lives 1 mile outside of town I'm considered an "out of town" resident and had to pay a ton of money for swim lessons and to have a pool membership.
56. We need pool upgrades. The town pool is a jewel.
57. Make access to Ice Center safer, Very dangerous traffic. Most bikers driving there are courteous drivers, not skaters/soccer group.

#### **No Expansion**

58. We have enough. The taxes do up each time you come up with a new way to spend money.
59. None that required local tax money.
60. Never hire a full-time recreation director. More cost. Higher taxes.

61. Fire the town planner and hire a full-time recreation director.
62. Recreation director – Who has a friend or college kid that needs a job and us taxpayers pay for?
63. We do NOT need to hire a full-time recreation director. Don't really know how much more improvement can be done on our current parks/recreation areas. We maintain these facilities as best we can with the amount of tax dollars available.
64. A full time recreation director should only be considered if fees support position. Given fees limit use, I don't think it's a good idea.
65. Recreation should never replace maintenance of public highways.

#### **Other**

66. Marketing plan for promoting recreation.
67. Hire a full-time rec director.
68. Hiring a recreation director part-time would move up the effectiveness list if this person worked with youth to develop youth-based activities in Waterbury
69. Don't you need some expert advice here (on the question above), rather than a survey?

### **13. Are there specific locations where renewable energy projects should or should not be encouraged?**

#### **Location**

1. Blush Hill near cell towers.
2. Thatcher brook school
3. Where they make economic sense.
4. They should be encouraged everywhere.
5. Encouraged everywhere.
6. Not sure. Ask people with the knowledge to make the correct decision.
7. Should not be encouraged where it will diminish the scenic value of town – along roads (especially Route 100) and on hillsides.
8. Don't take the ridgelines for wind turbines. Encourage solar!
9. Preferably where not immediately visible.

10. Not in any place where it will have a negative impact on our environment, nor where it will affect precious views.
11. Renewable energy growth trumps views.
12. Solar farms on town owned land. Solar panels on all municipal buildings. New municipal construction to meet LEED standards.
13. Projects should only be located in developed areas. Undeveloped areas should be protected.
14. Designated growth areas. Viewsheds. Wildlife habitat.
15. Would depend on the size of a given project and how it fits into existing area. Example, solar collectors on roofs could probably work anywhere.
16. Each project will need to be evaluated for the site used.
17. Identify prime areas and approach landowners to discuss benefits.

#### **Wind**

18. No wind turbines! They will prove to be a very costly folly.
19. Go solar. Down with wind turbines.
20. Wind turbines – depends on where
21. This is not Arizona! Not enough wind here.
22. If ridgeline turbines restrict large areas no longer available for hunting, fishing, hiking, snowmobiling, biking, not good.
23. No windmills on ridgelines. Solar farms screened.
24. I don't think we should encourage clear cutting but if someone wants to on their personal property we should not prevent it either.
25. No wind projects in Waterbury.
26. I don't think Waterbury has huge wind potential (I am not an expert but have looked the the Renewable Energy Atlas). I think solar and biomass are good options.
27. We all need to be open to seeing this technology in "our backyard" I have beautiful views of camel's Hump and the backside of Bolton. Would I want those views completely taken over with wind turbines? No, however I believe we need to be energy independent and I am willing to compromise some of my personal enjoyment for renewable energy.
28. I do not support wind turbines for utility energy production.

29. Renewable energy project should not be encouraged on ridgelines and viewsheds.
30. Wind turbines and biomass for personal use could adversely affect neighborhoods.
31. I would be concerned about wind and biomass for personal use because of potential impact on neighbors.

#### **Solar**

32. I'd prefer solar panels on a roof instead of fields filled with huge panels like in Hinesburg.
33. Do not ruin open spaces with solar "farms."
34. Solar rooftops rather than solar farms.

#### **Other**

35. Town should support but not subsidize!
36. This is only a village issue when it comes to the village government's usage. The rest is a personal choice.
37. Let people make their own decisions.
38. If someone wants to do it totally on their own with no tax dollars. They should be able to do it.
39. Encourage = NOT mandate
40. I'm OK with wind and solar, but I'd rather see larger more significant projects, than having everyone in my neighborhood plant a wind turbine.
41. Energy efficiency is best on a large scale not at the personal production level.
42. The use of biomass for an installation that produces power and heat or just heat is desirable. However, power is more likely to be produced more efficiently by natural gas.
43. Energy efficiency of town owned buildings should be prioritized at the same level or above renewables, just FYI.
44. Keep the wood chip facility at the state buildings.
45. Town heating plant is great.
46. We should not building anymore dams on streams.
47. Unsure.

**14. Where should Waterbury encourage more residential development?**

1. Residential development in Waterbury Village – where?
2. People will build where taxes are lower.
3. Stop telling people what they can and can't do. Let people decide themselves.
4. No increase in the size of village.
5. Question assumes growth is good. I disagree.

**15. Where should Waterbury encourage more commercial development?**

1. Waterbury is big enough.
2. The village is losing its historical character as GMCR takes over. Enough already!!
3. Attract businesses if it can help lower our property taxes.
4. Traffic mitigation needed in Colbyville.

**16. Where should Waterbury encourage more industrial development?**

1. No more growth.
2. Limit industrial growth. Pilgrim Park is OK.
3. This will help the ones with money and do nothing to lower our taxes.

**17. How should Waterbury allocate town funds?**

1. \$20 for municipal building with library, historical society and police.
2. \$25 for library.
3. \$10 for library facility including historical society.
4. \$10 for a savings fund.
5. \$5 for automatic retired veterans' tax break.
6. \$5 for cultural resources.
7. \$1 for library and cultural services building.
8. Police, fire and rescue services – very important to a viable community.
9. Better water and air quality must be pursued. Keep large trucks out of our downtown.

10. Allocate another \$100 for the library.
11. Feel like I can't do this without more familiarity with existing numbers.
12. Nothing I could write would be close to the reality of what things cost.

**18. Other comments?**

**Police**

1. I have lived in this "town" my entire life. I think Waterbury is an amazing place to raise a family and make a home, or I would have left a long time ago. I think it is great this 'new' conversation about the local police force. Maybe it is time for a change? That being said, I do not like the idea that the vote on November 4th is binding. I think there are too many questions remaining. I would like to know what figures are available for small/medium sized Vermont towns that do and do not have local police, what are the crime rates? It is ironic to me that the paper spoke of the police force and informed us of the pot factory coming at the same time. I am not sure why I got this form when other members of my family and neighborhood didn't, but I appreciate the opportunity to share my thoughts.
2. Regarding police department, I support the police department but it needs to be run better. Physically fit officers and policing of pedestrians at signalized intersections and ticketing of arrogant bicyclists running stop signals. Keep the town planner out of business people's running their business. Stabilize Waterbury were it is now. Let the housing and businesses go elsewhere. We have more than enough people and traffic already.
3. Big mistake to eliminate Police Department. It would be a license for serious crime and vandalism. State police would respond but [illegible] would prevail. It is very selfish for Town residents not to contribute for adequate police presence.
4. Keep police.
5. The current village police force is not effective
6. Support a merger with a completely overhauled police department or no police department.
7. I think police services should be addressed separately from the merger.
8. Police force needs revamping. We need it but not with the current frills, need more presence, less sitting around. Worry less about the occasional speeder! Need to find way to make merger more town friendly so they'll finally approve.

### **Merger**

9. Merger would make sense on many levels & efforts to do so should be continued. Most folks love it here & act like we're "one" so why not formalize it?!
10. Never support merger under present mindset of officials.
11. Merger can not be considered until question of police force and village water plant resolved.
12. I believe the necessity to cooperatively to recover from the damage of Irene has brought the community together and identified the need to work together as one community and in some cases one region to recover and forge a stronger future. With the complexities of accomplishing this, government has to be as simple and efficient as possible to make it happen. The complexities of have village trustees with the town complicate the process and result in inefficiencies. A merger would eliminate this. The police department is an example of a townwide need that is only funded by the village properties. The village can no longer fund a fully staffed police department. I believe going forward having a merger that eliminates the village is necessary for Waterbury to forge the best future possible. Waterbury is truly blessed with an abundance of hearty volunteers and dedicated elected officials that work tirelessly for our benefit. For that we are grateful.
13. Get over merger of town and village. Combine, economize and save money.
14. Support merger only if village sets up water and sewer district that is self funded!
15. Whatever saves money and gives us best protection.

### **Irene**

16. We need to use lessons we learned from Irene and change the zoning regulations in flood hazard areas to be more stringent than they currently are. The Planning Commission and DRB have not heeded advice from state flood officials which continue to put people in the Winooski River corridor at risk. They appear to worry more about disappointing developers than protecting ordinary people.
17. You should know that in spite of my cranky comments, we do (for the most part) enjoy living in Waterbury. We did "enjoy" Hurricane Irene (in a way). But I am determined to move to higher ground. Rain makes me nervous these days. I never want to experience another flood.

18. Long overdue. Perhaps Irene will move folks to work together for the common good.
19. Officials went above/beyond for irene recovery!! Kudos.
20. Work hard to study flood mitigation, another flood would mean the state complex would never return and most village residents would end up moving, then business would close. Study the Winooski St bridge bottle neck and make sure the state lowers the land behind the complex to allow more water to pass through. Don't build anymore buildings back there.
21. Flood mitigation.

### **Library**

22. It would be nice to promote and support more cultural activities in town. More support of library, perhaps a theater.
23. The library was not included in the list of choices for town support but should be moved to a building in the state complex.
24. Build new municipal office and library at Stanley Hall.

### **Government**

25. Waterbury needs a Selectboard that lives and pays their bills like the lower income people. Stop spending. How much did this mailing cost and how was it paid for? Could have been handed out in November at election time.
26. Government efficiency.
27. Term limits.
28. This state and this country as a whole need to get back to the basics just as all schools should be doing as well. Common sense goes a very long way as long as regulations aren't overbearing. Bigger government is not and will never be the answer. Our state and country need to adhere to the Constitution and our laws and regulations that are already on the books. Let's face it, we all need to be more conservative and stop becoming a nanny state and country. Communities should be helping their citizens in need, not federal or state governments.
29. We need to be able to maintain well what we already have. Waterbury doesn't focus on preventative building maintenance as well as we should.

### **Taxes**

30. Taxes cannot continue to go up when our home values are going down.
31. Lower taxes. Lower taxes. Lower taxes. Lower taxes. Bring in more independent business. Give tax breaks to attract. Let people build. Economy will thrive. Regulate = death. Break the unions with the schools/teachers. It is killing us! The more services you try to provide, you attract less people willing to work. Costs the town more money. If you tell people they can build it, they will come. If the state does not move back, let business move in. Less regulations, lower taxes, bust the unions = growth for all!
32. I think it is really sad when a few people think they know what is best for everyone else. They lose sight of anyone else's hopes and dreams. They also seem to forget the needs of the people. Home and food and affordable housing. They take money and want to put it in parks, walking paths, ball fields that a few people who don't have problems with basic living conditions get to use. We also teach our children no sense of value, to earn what they want through hard work and sacrifice. We will leave them unbelievable taxes which will keep them working so hard they won't be able to enjoy all you got them. It is too bad.
33. We are going to spend too much money to tear down and rebuild the building for municipal building. We can reconstruct the interior of the existing building to accomplish our needs. We seem to think the funds from FEMA and the state is free money. Who is the town, state and federal government? It is us, the people of all three. Who pays the town, the state and the federal government? How many buildings were torn down after the '27 flood? How many thousand did it cost to restore those buildings? Stop spending so much money. We all owe our souls to China. Stop it! We need to stop thinking all this money is free.
34. I don't mind paying taxes at the current rate as long as services provided continue to grow and progress. Keep up the good work. Thank you.

### **Traffic, Parking and Roads**

35. Don't spend a ton of money to fix an intersection that isn't broken by Snowfire. Make it easier for kids to cross the street but don't spend 5 million dollars when you could probably just paint a crosswalk and hire a crossing guard.
36. Parking.
37. Something needs to be done about the Guptil [?] Road / Route 100 intersection.

### **Development, Growth and Planning**

38. Waterbury is special for a variety of reasons. The natural environment is certainly one of the key reasons. All future plans should keep Waterbury's unique quality of life unique. Let's not make Waterbury look like the usual interstate exit / franchise row of America.
39. Development incentives such as expansion of water/sewer.
40. Keep it green and scenic. Keep ridgelines and farms. Preserve historical buildings.
41. Waterbury Village is a beautiful testament to the 1880s. Yet we only have explored part of its historic potential. The entire village should become a historical district.
42. The buzzword needs to be sustainability instead of growth.
43. We need to think beyond ball fields when we talk about recreation.
44. Waterbury is overdeveloped and until we resolve problems such as traffic no further development should be encouraged. Waterbury also needs to have a public discussion of what we want our town and village to be in 100 years, including population, natural areas, recreation, and jobs. Then we should develop a plan and zoning based on that 100 year vision. Without a long-term vision, we may be destroying the very qualities that we love most about the town by piecemeal development that does not consider cumulative impacts and quality of life issues.
45. Work hard to keep village grocery store open and not have it run out of business by a Shaws that shouldn't be there in the first place. Work on decreasing traffic in route 100 area around Shaws. Can be terrible.

### **Survey**

46. Survey too long.
47. Thank you for asking!
48. This is a great survey! Please communicate results along with number of residents in town and village that responded.
49. Thank you for gathering input from the community!
50. Thank you for the opportunity to participate!
51. Thank you for this survey and keep up the great work!

52. The last four or so screens of this survey had no questions so i think i was unable to complete the entire survey. There were no questions about ridge-line development. I hope that we will not see wind-towers or other development on our ridgelines.
53. I moved to Waterbury reluctantly in '81, and 'fell in love,' with Waterbury over time. This small town is incredibly caring as well as always trying to improve. Revitalizing Waterbury is so effective. Thank you for wanting public's opinion.

**Other**

54. Many questions couldn't be answered since I don't know current regs.
55. I really don't know enough to answer most of these questions with any expertise [illegible]. The only sector I have researched is #13 and 13A because wanted [illegible] with solar years ago.
56. Waterbury is a great town that needs to focus on its positives and build a strong and creative vision of the future. We get stuck in the weeds a lot and get dragged into stupid situations like the police or the firefighters being against the library (remember that?!) And we only hurt ourselves by this pettiness.